

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/739,506	12/18/2000	Leo Carl Christensen	US000344***	3124	
24737	7590 03/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN P.O. BOX 30	ITELLECTUAL PROPER 101	BLOUNT,	BLOUNT, STEVEN		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

í	K
_	-

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/739,506	CHRISTENSEN, LEO CARL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven Blount	2661		

Defend the Ellin of an American Delet						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven Blount	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) Σ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In πο						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding an. ant of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		PTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	geolea ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(I IOL-024).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 11</u> .		:				
Claim(s) rejected. <u>7 - 7 7.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after of	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Ajit Patel Primary Examiner						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: With respect to claim 5, "wherein pre-sorting the input data is not necessary" is a new issue which would require further search and consideration. Also, with respect to claim 6, the same is true with respect to M instead of K output streams. The examiner notes that in claim 3, the word "memories" in line 4 should be underlined.